

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

_____)	
BRETT C. KIMBERLIN,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 90-1549 (EGS)
)	
MICHAEL J. QUINLAN, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

PRE-TRIAL ORDER

In light of the Memorandum Opinion filed today denying in defendants' motion for summary judgment, it is hereby

ORDERED that the parties shall file by no later than **March 14, 2003**, a Joint Pretrial Statement in strict compliance with Local Rules 16.5(b) and 16.5(d)(1), (2) & (3). The parties are directed to make good faith efforts to agree on proposed voir dire questions and jury instructions; it is

FURTHER ORDERED that Local Rule 16.5(e) objections shall be filed by each party by no later than **March 28, 2003**; it is

FURTHER ORDERED that responses to objections shall be filed by **April 11, 2003**; it is

FURTHER ORDERED that replies shall be filed by **April 22, 2003**; it is

FURTHER ORDERED that there shall be no surreplies; it is

FURTHER ORDERED that in order to aid the Court in the

expeditious resolution of pretrial issues, the following format shall be adhered to for the filing of objections, responses, and replies: (1) Each party shall convert each item listed in Rule 16.5 into a 2-column table, fill in the left side of the table with the party's statement, list, designation, etc., and then serve all counsel with a computer floppy disk of this submission. Counsel shall certify that this version of the Rule 16.5 submission is identical to the one filed with the Court. (2) Opposing counsel shall file its Rule 16.5(e) objection by responding to each item point-by-point and filling in the right side of the table. A blank space will indicate to the Court that no objection exists. Two examples are provided below:

CAPTION

Plaintiff's Proposed Voir Dire	Defendants' Objections
1. Do any of you, members of your family, or close friends have a personal or business relationship with any members of the Court, plaintiff or his counsel, or defendant or his counsel?	1. [Blank] [Indicates agreement.]
2.	2.

CAPTION

Defendant's Witness List	Plaintiff's Objections
1. John Marshall, Chief Justice of the United States Supreme Court. Chief Justice Marshall will testify to his opinion in <i>Marbury v. Madison</i> .	1. [Blank] [Indicates no objection.]
2.	2.

It is **FURTHER ORDERED** that parties are directed not to cross-reference documents or base objections on statements made in previous pleadings or papers without quoting the relevant section in full, and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that a Pretrial Conference is scheduled in this case for **May 14, 2003** at **11:00 a.m.**; it is

FURTHER ORDERED that a Trial date will be scheduled at the Pretrial Conference.

DATE

EMMET G. SULLIVAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Notice to:

Howard T. Rosenblatt, Esquire
Timothy E. Boyle, Esquire
Howrey, Simon, Arnold & White
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2402

R. Joseph Sher, Esquire
United States Department of Justice, Civil Division
Ben Franklin Station
P.O. Box 7146
Washington, D.C. 20044

Michael Lee Martinez, Esquire
Crowell & Moring, L.L.P.
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2595